

MEETING NOTES

**SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY
SALUDA HYDRO PROJECT RELICENSING
LAKE AND LAND MANAGEMENT TWC**

**SCE&G Training Center
January 22, 2008**

final ACG 4-15-08

ATTENDEES:

Alan Stuart, Kleinschmidt Associates
Alison Guth, Kleinschmidt Associates
Tommy Boozer, SCE&G
David Hancock, SCE&G
Ron Ahle, SCDNR
Randy Mahan, SCANA Services
Dick Christie, SCDNR
John Frick, Landowner
Jim Cumberland, SCCCL

Bob Perry, SCDNR
Steve Bell, LW
Bill Argentieri, SCE&G
Tony Bebber, SCPRT
Van Hoffman, SCANA
Carl Sundius, CALM
Vivianne Vejdani, SCDNR
Roy Parker, LMA
Jim Leslie, Lake Murray Docks

DATE: January 22, 2008

DATE OF NEXT MEETING: February 7, 2008 at 9:30 a.m.
Located at the LMTC

INTRODUCTIONS AND DISCUSSION

The group was welcomed and it was explained that the purpose of the day's meeting would be to review SCE&G's land rebalancing proposal with the TWC. The presentation can be found at the following address:

http://www.saludahydrorelicense.com/documents/ProposedMgmtPlanFutureDevelopmentProperty4_000.pdf. The presentation began with an explanation of baseline conditions and background information by Randy Mahan. During discussions on classification definitions there was a question on the definition of future development lands. Randy explained that future development lands could be defined as property that was not restricted, and available for sale subject to zoning and development restrictions. He further explained that the fact that a particular piece of land is classified as future development does not mean that it is always going to be sold.

Randy continued to explain baseline conditions and noted that since 1984, SCE&G has sold 294.13 acres of future development property. He pointed out that they have not sold more than 30.04 acres in one year. The history of the buffer zone and ESA restrictions were also discussed. Jim Leslie

MEETING NOTES

**SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY
SALUDA HYDRO PROJECT RELICENSING
LAKE AND LAND MANAGEMENT TWC**

**SCE&G Training Center
January 22, 2008**

final ACG 4-15-08

asked how the forest and game management land was designated. Van Hoffman discussed this issue with the group and noted that the entire length of the shoreline was walked during the designation process.

After the baseline and background information had been presented, there was discussion on rebalancing. Tommy Boozer explained the plan for rebalancing being proposed by SCE&G, as well as the new land classifications and dock restrictions being proposed. Randy pointed out that there may be a case where the difference between an individual's property line and the 360 is not 75 ft and those situations will need to be considered on a case by case basis. John Frick noted that he believed that there should be wording that specified the property around the PBL and 75 ft buffer zone needs to be developed low-density. Randy pointed out that it was not the prerogative of SCE&G to make zoning decisions, however the proposal made by SCE&G may indirectly reduce density.

Tommy continued to review the proposed new dock criteria with the group. Dick Christie pointed out that the changes were significant, as the old plan allowed for a max of 15 docks on 1500 ft of shoreline, but the new plan proposed a maximum of 10 docks on 1500 ft of shoreline. In a discussion on Multi-slip docks, Ron Ahle asked if a developer decided to place a multi-slip dock on 500 of 1500 ft of shoreline, would that preclude him from placing private docks on the rest of the 1000 ft. Tommy noted that it would. John Frick added that if SCE&G did not permit private docks in the future, and only permitted multi-slips, than a lot more property would be protected.

After discussions on proposed dock criteria had concluded, David Hancock began discussions on SCE&G's proposal for future development lands, as well as the proposed dock policy on forest management lands. It was explained that SCE&G reviewed properties behind current forest and game management lands and identified 88 private property owners that could be considered for a dock if the dock proposal on forest management lands is deemed acceptable. Tommy explained that they would like to work with the TWC and set up criteria and basic guidelines. David added that if the setback was less than 75 ft on these properties than they would like to work with the property owner to make it a uniform 75 ft. Tommy noted that they would write up a straw-man on the criteria for the forest management land dock options and present it to the group.

The group concluded its discussions and conferred on the next steps to take. It was noted that the proposal would be presented to the RCG as well. The group adjourned with the next meeting date set for early February.